

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/686,647	<b>Applicant(s)</b> FRIEDMAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Maria B Marvich, PhD	<b>Art Unit</b> 1636	

**All Participants:**

(1) Maria B Marvich, PhD *MM*

(2) Christine Dietzel

**Date of Interview:** 19 March 2004

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Status of Application:** Ex Parte Quayle

(3) Gerry Leffers

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Double Patenting Rejection over US 6,001,968*

Claims discussed:

*59-65*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Filing of a terminal disclaimer over US 6,001,968 would put the application in condition for allowance.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____ (Examiner/SPE Signature)	_____ (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)
-----------------------------------	--